DECLARATION & POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below-named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My correct city and state of residence, my post office address and my citizenship are stated below next to my name.

I believe myself to be the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original and first joint inventor (if more than one name is listed below) of the subject matter which is disclosed and claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

	"ELEC	FRONIC DEVIC	E WITH FOLD OUT DISPLAY	Y AND/OR K	EYBOA	ARD"
The spec	cification of th	is subject matte	er:			
	X is atta	ached hereto.				
	□ was f	iled on	;			
	was a	ssigned serial N	No;			
	which	was amended	on;			
do not be my invention sale in th has not be application represent design particular application	elieve that the tion thereof, of thereof or more United State of the United State of t	e claimed invention patented or done than one years of America not or made the suntry foreign to the signs more than ion) prior to this eather duty to disconce with 37 C.F.	close information which is mat .R. §1.56(a).	the United Sation in any of the same was application to issued be an application tent application tent application the erial to the e	States or country by as not in, and the fore the ion filed on) or si	f America before before my n public use or on at the invention date of this by me or my legal x months (for a ion of this
patent or	inventor's ce	rtificate listed be	benefits under 35 U.S.C. §119 elow and have also identified b date before that of the applica	pelow any fo	reign ap	plication for paten
PRIOR F	OREIGN AP	PLICATION(S)			•	Priority Claimed
Number	Count	ry	Month/Day/Year Filed	Yes	No	
Number	Count	ry	Month/Day/Year Filed	Yes	No	
Number	Count	rv	Month/Dav/Year Filed	Yes	No	·

PROVISIONAL PATENT APPLICATION(S)

listed be	elow:								
	I hereby claim	the benefit under	35 U.S.C.	§119(e) of a	ny United S	States provi	isional a	applicatio	n(s)

60/265,667	February 2, 2001	
Application Number	Filing Date	

PARENT PATENT APPLICATION(S)

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in these prior United States application(s) in the manner provided by 35 U.S.C. §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

09/969,381	September 28, 2001	Pending
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)

I hereby appoint David B. Ritchie, Registration No. 31,562; Robert E. Krebs, Registration No. 25,885; Marc S. Hanish, Registration No. 42,626; John P. Schaub, Registration No. 42,125; Adrienne Yeung, Registration No. 44,000; Steven J. Robbins, Registration No. 40,299; Thierry K. Lo, Registration No. 49,097; William Samuel Niece, Registration No.: 47,824; J. Davis Gilmer, Registration No. 44,711; William E. Winters, Registration No. 42,232, Masako Ando, (37 C.F.R.§10.9 (b)); and John Klaas Uilkema, Registration No. 20,282; Becky L. Troutman, Registration No. 36,703; Hal J. Bohner, Registration No. 27,856; as attorneys of record with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. If this application is assigned by me I agree and understand that the above-named attorneys will represent the assignee and not me.

Please send all correspondence and direct all telephone calls to:

Robert E. Krebs
Thelen Reid & Priest LLP
P.O. Box 640640
San Jose, CA 95164-0640
Telephone: (408) 292-5800
Facsimile: (408) 287-8040

I, the undersigned, declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing therefrom.

FULL NAME OF INVENTOR 1	FIRST Nam	MIDDLE Initial(s)	LAST Nam
	Todd		Heintz
RESIDENCE AND CITIZENSHIP	D City	State or Foreign Country	Country of Citizenship
DOOT OFFICE	.Vancouver	British Columbia, Canada	aCanada
POST OFFICE ADDRESS	Number and Street	City	State or Country Zip Cod
	1408 Strathmore Mews, Suite 603,	Vancouver, British Columbi	a, Canada V6Z 3A
FULL NAME OF INVENTOR 2	FIRST Name	MIDDLE Initial(s)	LAST Name
DECIDENCE AND	Jon	T	Winebrenner
RESIDENCE AND CITIZENSHIP	O City	State or Foreign Country	Country of Citizenship
·	Richmond	British Columbia, Canada	United States of America
POST OFFICE ADDRESS	Number and Street		State or Country Zip Code
	3300 Pleasant Street	Richmond, British Columbia	ı. Canada V7E 2P4
nade upon information inowledge that will Section 1001 of Til	eclare that all statements made her ation and belief are believed to be triful false statements and the like so the 18 of the United States Code, and any patent issuing thereon.	ein of my own knowledge are ue; and further that these sta made are punishable by fine	e true and that all statements
NVENTOR 1		Date)	
Ther and WENTOR 2		Date	20,2003

37 C.F.R. §1.56 Duty to disc! se information material to patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1,97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.